

Factors That Influence Fenofibrate Effects On Cancer Cells

Spiros A. Vlahopoulos^{1*}

Horemio Research Institute, First Department of Pediatrics, University of Athens Medical School, "Aghia Sophia" Children's Hospital, Athens, Greece

Short Communication

A recent clinical trial for pediatric embryonal brain tumors reported encouraging results, with a treatment scheme that included peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α (PPAR α) agonist fenofibrate [1]. The treatment scheme was aimed at inhibiting neovascularization; however, the drugs used can inhibit cancer cell growth by a number of mechanisms. In a different treatment scheme, aimed to treat a variety of recurrent or progressive tumors, fenofibrate could not demonstrate similarly encouraging effects [2].

Under which conditions can fenofibrate be effective?

In basic and clinical research, PPAR agonists are generally used to inhibit angiogenesis [1], [2], [3]. However, it has been revealed that they can stimulate angiogenesis as well, using as models human cultured endothelial cells and mouse cornea [4]. It could be argued that cell type and microenvironment determine

effects on angiogenesis, and can be determined by the cell and host composition of the preclinical study model.

Downstream targets of PPAR agonists can have multiple effects on gene expression and cell physiology: The subject of PPAR ligands as anticancer drugs has been reviewed recently. Grabacka et al., note that PPAR α activation can engage molecular interplay among SIRT1, AMPK, and PGC-1 α [5] which could explain, at least in part, the encouraging results of the Peyrl et al., study (more on this topic in [6]). In cultured cervical cancer cells, however, fenofibrate can induce mRNA for PPAR α , PPAR γ and superoxide dismutase 1, with tendency to decrease radiation sensitivity [7]. In different types of gastrointestinal cancer, PPAR activity above or below normal can affect tumor growth [8]. High PPAR activity can kill cancer cells that are unable to utilize fatty acids as a source of energy, or that depend on signals mediated by transcription factors NF- κ B or STAT3; on the other

Corresponding author: Spiros A. Vlahopoulos, e-mail: sblachop@med.uoa.gr, *Horemio Research Institute, First Department of Pediatrics, University of Athens Medical School, "Aghia Sophia" Children's Hospital, Athens, Greece*

Citation: Spiros A. Vlahopoulos (2014) Factors that Influence Fenofibrate Effects on Cancer Cells. Journal of Hematology and Oncology Research - 1(1):34-39. <https://doi.org/10.14302/issn.2372-6601.jhor-13-362>

Keywords : fenofibrate

Received : Dec 3, 2013

Accepted : Mar 11, 2014

Published : Mar 14, 2014

hand PPAR activity can support growth of cancer cells that are deficient in tumor suppressors such as APC, or cancer cells that derive energy from oxidation of fatty acids [8].

Interestingly, in a recent mechanistic study on chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), inhibitors of PPAR α and fatty acid oxidation enzymes increased glucocorticoid-mediated killing of CLL cells in culture. The study authors noted a similar effect on mouse CLL xenografts, where immune-deficient mice could be rescued by combination of GCs and PPAR α inhibition [9]. CLL cells may use fatty acids as a source of energy, because they express lipoprotein lipase: palmitate oxidation rates in circulating CLL cells can be similar to normal fat-burning cells such as muscle [10]. Transgenic expression of PPAR α in the cell line Daudi, increased both expression of immunosuppressive factors interleukin (IL)10 and phospho-STAT3 and resistance to metabolic and cytotoxic stress; in contrast, PPAR α antagonist MK886 killed circulating CLL cells directly, caused proliferating CLL cells to enter an immunogenic death pathway and cleared CLL xenografts from immunodeficient mice. [10].

Lipoprotein lipase is highly expressed in bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells of acute myeloid leukemia patients, making those marrow cells prone to adipogenic differentiation, and thereby contributing to alteration of the bone marrow as a niche [11].

It is clear that balance of metabolic function is critical to the function of bone marrow as a niche for hematopoietic cells: in normal hematopoietic stem cells, regulation of mitochondrial fatty acid oxidation is essential for their function. Inhibition of fatty acid oxidation results in symmetric commitment [12].

Inflammatory signals are an important parameter of PPAR effects on cancer development. In mouse liver, deletion of PPAR α aggravates lipopolysaccharide -induced hepatic injury through

activating transcription factors STAT1 and NF- κ B-p65 and increasing levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines. The activities of key anti-oxidant enzymes and mitochondrial complexes decrease while lipid peroxidation and protein nitration levels increase [13]. Similarly, inhibition of PPAR γ in mouse myeloid-lineage cells induces systemic inflammation, immunosuppression, and tumorigenesis [14].

The ability of nuclear receptors to interfere with specific aspects of inflammatory signals is crucial to the effects of PPAR agonists. Against Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), fenofibrate was shown to inhibit activity of the transcription factor NF- κ B, killing the malignant cells [15]. It must be noted that NF- κ B has a wide range of effects on nuclear receptors [16] [17], and that nuclear receptors have a broader role in cancer cells than the one anticipated by their function in classical endocrinology of healthy tissue [18] [17].

Breast cancer cells survive due to enhancement of NF- κ B activity by glucocorticoids [19]. NF- κ B may also be activated by PPAR α in breast cancer initiating cells [20]. Activation of NF- κ B facilitates plasmacytoma cell resistance to glucocorticoids and to inhibitors of Janus kinases, and enables the cells to grow in the absence of Interleukin-6 [21]. In acute leukemia activation of NF- κ B was associated with glucocorticoid treatment failure in children [22], and chemotherapy failure in adults [23]. In cell culture, persistent activation of NF- κ B could protect Acute Myeloid Leukemia cells from proteasome inhibitor bortezomib [24].

The importance of regulation of inflammatory mechanisms by nuclear receptors and their ligands is also evident in comparison of the effects of fibrates and glucocorticoids between rodents and primates. Fibrates have partly different effects in the liver of a primate (cynomolgus monkey) in comparison to rodents (rats and mice). When mice and rats are given PPAR α agonists, they show hepatic peroxisome proliferation,

hypertrophy, hyperplasia, and eventually hepatocarcinogenesis; these effects are accompanied by a higher expression of inflammatory genes in rodent liver, in comparison to monkeys [25]. The inflammatory effects in mice, however, can be modulated by glucocorticoids, as there appears to be a synergy of PPAR and GR against inflammatory signals [26] [27]: PPAR α blocks glucocorticoid receptor-mediated transactivation but cooperates with liganded glucocorticoid receptor for transrepression on NF- κ B [28]. As result, in mice with hyperinsulinemia through high-fat diet, activation of PPAR α limits GC-induced Glc intolerance [28].

A synergy of nuclear receptors against inflammatory mediators can have importance for leukemic cells that are resistant to glucocorticoids [29]; such cells can be killed in vitro by proteasome inhibitor with a gene expression signature enriched for the STAT3 signal pathway [30]. The clinically permitted exposure, however, to the proteasome inhibitor, is rather low [31], and bone marrow cells may modulate STAT3 signals [32]. Interestingly, chronic treatment with fenofibrate inhibits STAT3 activation, and prevents the IL-6-induced gene expression in wild-type but not in PPAR α -deficient mice [33]. Tissue-specific modulators of PPAR α could be therefore effective, to interfere with selected targets of the glucocorticoids in cancer cells in vivo [34]. For types of tumor cells that cannot integrate fatty acid oxidation in their metabolism, even fenofibrate should be studied as part of the antineoplastic drug combination, including however preferably assays not only on the malignant cells, but also on the cells that form their niche. As described, the molecular endpoint targets of PPAR pathways are useful variables in the analysis of drug interactions at the level of cell culture. In conclusion, PPAR activators like fenofibrate have a high potential as anticancer drugs; and due to interference with glucocorticoid signals, are particularly interesting in leukemia. Fenofibrate is capable, however,

for negative effects in antineoplastic treatment, especially on cancer cell types that utilize fatty acid oxidation as an energy source, and as a pathway for resistance to drugs. Advance in molecular study of homeostatic mechanisms, with particular attention to aspects specific for the human organism [35], will enable progress in design of combination schemes for this type of PPAR agonists.

References

1. Peyrl A, Chocholous M, Kieran MW, Azizi AA, Prucker C, Czech T, et al. Antiangiogenic metronomic therapy for children with recurrent embryonal brain tumors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2012;59:511–7.
2. Robison NJ, Campigotto F, Chi SN, Manley PE, Turner CD, Zimmerman MA, et al. A phase II trial of a multi-agent oral antiangiogenic (metronomic) regimen in children with recurrent or progressive cancer. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2013.
3. Aljada A, O'Connor L, Fu Y-Y, Mousa SA. PPAR gamma ligands, rosiglitazone and pioglitazone, inhibit bFGF- and VEGF-mediated angiogenesis. *Angiogenesis* 2008;11:361–7.
4. Biscetti F, Gaetani E, Flex A, Aprahamian T, Hopkins T, Straface G, et al. Selective activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) alpha and PPAR gamma induces neoangiogenesis through a vascular endothelial growth factor-dependent mechanism. *Diabetes* 2008;57:1394–404.
5. Grabacka M, Pierzchalska M, Reiss K. Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor α ligands as anticancer drugs targeting mitochondrial metabolism. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 2013;14:342–56.
6. Revollo JR, Li X. The ways and means that fine tune Sirt1 activity. *Trends Biochem Sci* 2013;38:160–7.

7. Liu X, Jang SS, An Z, Song H, Kim W-D, Yu J-R, et al. Fenofibrate decreases radiation sensitivity via peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α -mediated superoxide dismutase induction in HeLa cells. *Radiat Oncol J* 2012;30:88–95.
8. Paziienza V, Vinciguerra M, Mazzoccoli G. PPARs Signaling and Cancer in the Gastrointestinal System. *PPAR Res* 2012;2012:560846.
9. Tung S, Shi Y, Wong K, Zhu F, Gorczynski R, Laister RC, et al. PPAR α and fatty acid oxidation mediate glucocorticoid resistance in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood* 2013;122:969–80.
10. Spaner DE, Lee E, Shi Y, Wen F, Li Y, Tung S, et al. PPAR- α is a therapeutic target for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Leukemia* 2013;27:1090–9.
11. Chen Q, Yuan Y, Chen T. Morphology, differentiation and adhesion molecule expression changes of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells from acute myeloid leukemia patients. *Mol Med Rep* 2014;9:293–8.
12. Ito K, Carracedo A, Weiss D, Arai F, Ala U, Avigan DE, et al. A PML–PPAR- δ pathway for fatty acid oxidation regulates hematopoietic stem cell maintenance. *Nat Med* 2012;18:1350–8.
13. Yoo SH, Park O, Henderson LE, Abdelmegeed MA, Moon K-H, Song B-J. Lack of PPAR α exacerbates lipopolysaccharide-induced liver toxicity through STAT1 inflammatory signaling and increased oxidative/nitrosative stress. *Toxicol Lett* 2011;202:23–9.
14. Wu L, Yan C, Czader M, Foreman O, Blum JS, Kapur R, et al. Inhibition of PPAR γ in myeloid-lineage cells induces systemic inflammation, immunosuppression, and tumorigenesis. *Blood* 2012;119:115–26.
15. Zak Z, Gelebart P, Lai R. Fenofibrate induces effective apoptosis in mantle cell lymphoma by inhibiting the TNF α /NF- κ B signaling axis. *Leukemia* 2010;24:1476–86.
16. McKay LI, Cidlowski JA. Molecular control of immune/inflammatory responses: interactions between nuclear factor- κ B and steroid receptor signaling pathways. *Endocr Rev* 1999;20:435–59.
17. Copland JA, Sheffield-Moore M, Koldzic-Zivanovic N, Gentry S, Lamprou G, Tzortzatu-Stathopoulou F, et al. Sex steroid receptors in skeletal differentiation and epithelial neoplasia: is tissue-specific intervention possible? *BioEssays News Rev Mol Cell Dev Biol* 2009;31:629–41.
18. Logotheti S, Papaevangelou D, Michalopoulos I, Sideridou M, Tsimaratou K, Christodoulou I, et al. Progression of mouse skin carcinogenesis is associated with increased ER α levels and is repressed by a dominant negative form of ER α . *PLoS One* 2012;7:e41957.
19. Khan S, Lopez-Dee Z, Kumar R, Ling J. Activation of NF κ B is a novel mechanism of pro-survival activity of glucocorticoids in breast cancer cells. *Cancer Lett* 2013;337:90–5.
20. Papi A, Guarnieri T, Storci G, Santini D, Ceccarelli C, Taffurelli M, et al. Nuclear receptors agonists exert opposing effects on the inflammation dependent survival of breast cancer stem cells. *Cell Death Differ* 2012;19:1208–19.
21. Yang Y, Groshong JS, Matta H, Gopalakrishnan R, Yi H, Chaudhary PM. Constitutive NF- κ B activation confers interleukin 6 (IL6) independence and resistance to dexamethasone and Janus kinase inhibitor INCB018424 in murine plasmacytoma cells. *J Biol Chem* 2011;286:27988–97.
22. Kamieńska E, Ociepa T, Wysocki M, Kurylak A, Matysiak M, Urański T, et al. Activation of NF- κ B in leukemic cells in response to initial prednisone

- therapy in children with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: relation to other prognostic factors. *Pol J Pathol Off J Pol Soc Pathol* 2011;62:5–11.
23. Kapelko-Słowik K, Urbaniak-Kujda D, Wołowiec D, Jaźwiec B, Dybko J, Jakubaszko J, et al. Expression of PIM-2 and NF- κ B genes is increased in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and is associated with complete remission rate and overall survival. *Postępy Hig Med Dośw Online* 2013;67:553–9.
24. Bosman MCJ, Schuringa JJ, Quax WJ, Vellenga E. Bortezomib sensitivity of acute myeloid leukemia CD34(+) cells can be enhanced by targeting the persisting activity of NF- κ B and the accumulation of MCL-1. *Exp Hematol* 2013;41:530–538.e1.
25. Cariello NF, Romach EH, Colton HM, Ni H, Yoon L, Falls JG, et al. Gene expression profiling of the PPAR- α agonist ciprofibrate in the cynomolgus monkey liver. *Toxicol Sci Off J Soc Toxicol* 2005;88:250–64.
26. Genovese T, Esposito E, Mazzon E, Crisafulli C, Paterniti I, Di Paola R, et al. PPAR- α modulate the anti-inflammatory effect of glucocorticoids in the secondary damage in experimental spinal cord trauma. *Pharmacol Res Off J Ital Pharmacol Soc* 2009;59:338–50.
27. [27] Hatano Y, Elias PM, Crumrine D, Feingold KR, Katagiri K, Fujiwara S. Efficacy of combined peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α ligand and glucocorticoid therapy in a murine model of atopic dermatitis. *J Invest Dermatol* 2011;131:1845–52.
28. [28] Bougarne N, Paumelle R, Caron S, Hennuyer N, Mansouri R, Gervois P, et al. PPAR α blocks glucocorticoid receptor α -mediated transactivation but cooperates with the activated glucocorticoid receptor α for transrepression on NF- κ B. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009;106:7397–402.
29. Lambrou GI, Vlahopoulos S, Papathanasiou C, Papanikolaou M, Karpusas M, Zoumakis E, et al. Prednisolone exerts late mitogenic and biphasic effects on resistant acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells: Relation to early gene expression. *Leuk Res* 2009;33:1684–95.
30. Lambrou GI, Papadimitriou L, Chrousos GP, Vlahopoulos SA. Glucocorticoid and proteasome inhibitor impact on the leukemic lymphoblast: multiple, diverse signals converging on a few key downstream regulators. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2012;351:142–51.
31. Messinger YH, Gaynon PS, Sposto R, van der Giessen J, Eckroth E, Malvar J, et al. Bortezomib with chemotherapy is highly active in advanced B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia: Therapeutic Advances in Childhood Leukemia & Lymphoma (TACL) Study. *Blood* 2012;120:285–90.
32. Manshouri T, Estrov Z, Quintás-Cardama A, Burger J, Zhang Y, Livun A, et al. Bone marrow stroma-secreted cytokines protect JAK2(V617F)-mutated cells from the effects of a JAK2 inhibitor. *Cancer Res* 2011;71:3831–40.
33. Gervois P, Kleemann R, Pilon A, Percevault F, Koenig W, Staels B, et al. Global suppression of IL-6-induced acute phase response gene expression after chronic in vivo treatment with the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- α activator fenofibrate. *J Biol Chem* 2004;279:16154–60.
34. Ratman D, Vanden Berghe W, Dejager L, Libert C, Tavernier J, Beck IM, et al. How glucocorticoid receptors modulate the activity of other transcription

factors: A scope beyond tethering. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2013;380:41–54.

35. Pozzi A, Capdevila JH. PPARalpha Ligands as Antitumorogenic and Antiangiogenic Agents. PPAR Res 2008;2008:906542.